

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1





	Audit Details										
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZC: NA (Not member a member of Sedex)		Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)			ZS: NA (Not member a member of Sedex)		nber		
Business name (Company name	e):	Oxygene \	Workwed	ar							
Site name:		Oxygene \	Workwe	ar							
Site address: (Please include full address)		RUE BECHIR BOUGHOULA 5012 SAHLINE		Country:		Tunisio	a				
Site contact and title:	l job	Ghazi Meh	Ghazi Mehrez (General manager and owner)								
Site phone:		+216 98 91 49 48		Site	e e-mail:		Ghazi	Ghazi.mehrez@oxywear.com.tn		n.tn	
SMETA Audit Pillars:		∑ Labour Standards		Hec Safety Enviror Pillar)		olus	Environment 4-pillar		nt Business Ethics		
Date of Audit:		20 July 2019									
EL	ny Name & I					_		ner (payer): Workwear			
			,	Audit	Con	ducted B	У				
Affiliate Audit Company	\boxtimes		Purcha	ıser				Retaile	er		
Brand owner			NGO					Trade	Union		
Multi- stakeholder		Combined Audit (select all that apply)									



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): The audit team did not reflect the gender balance of the site – A male auditor used for 1 day, however the gender balance is 82% females, 18% males.

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Wissem Guenanna APSCA number: ASCA 21702237

Lead auditor APSCA status: ASCA (In good standing)

Team auditor: NA APSCA number: NA

Interviewers: Wissem Guenanna APSCA number: ASCA 21702237

Report writer: Wissem Guenanna Report reviewer: Ece Sezgince

Date of declaration: 20 July 2019

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

Audit Parameters							
A: Time in and time out	A1: Day 1 Time in: 08h:00 A2: Day 1 Time out: 16h:30	A3: Day 2 Time in: A4: Day 2 Time out:	A5: Day 3 Time in: A6: Day 3 Time out:				
B: Number of auditor days used:	1 Day x 1 auditor						
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define	Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other					
D: Was the audit announced?	☐ Announced ☐ Semi – announced: Window detail: 2 weeks (15 July-26 July 2019) ☐ Unannounced						
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	☐ Yes ☐ No E1: If No, why not? Management is not aware of the SAQ.						
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture detail in appropriate audit by clause NA						
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Ghazi Mehrez (General manager and owner)						
H: Is further information available (If yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
I: Previous audit date:	NA						
J: Previous audit type:	NA						
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A						



Audit attendance	Management		Worker Representatives			
	Senior management		Worker Committee representatives		Union representatives	
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes	□No	Yes	⊠ No	☐ Yes	⊠ No
B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes	□No	Yes	⊠ No	Yes	⊠ No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes	□No	Yes	⊠ No	Yes	⊠ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	Facility has not worker representatives. The company has implemented only a non-elected health and safety committee composed by 1 management representative and 1 worker nominated by management. Tunisian law requires for companies with more than 40 permanent workers (with non-limited time contracts) to establish a consultative committee composed of elected workers. For companies with 20 to 39 permanent workers, Tunisian law requires only to elect one representative worker. For companies with less than 20 permanent workers there is no need to have elected representative workers. Oxygene has 16 employees with limited time contracts and 2 employees with non-limited time contracts that's why worker committee is not a legal requirement.					ommittee orker o establish ers. For aw ompanies o have oyees with
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	There is no u	union in th	ne company			



Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

	Corrective Action Plan – non-compliances								
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non-compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 180, 365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
NC 1 0B Management systems and code implementation - 1	New	During worker interview, 6 out 10 workers interviewed were not aware of the ETI code and were not aware it was posted in the factory in local language.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that all workers are made aware of the content of the ETI code.	60 days	Desktop	Ghazi Mehrez (General manager)		
NC2 3. Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic -1	New	Facility is missing a valid fire license (Prevention certificate).	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☑ Other – please give details: Lack of awareness of local laws	It is recommended that the facility start the process to obtain the fire license.	60 days	Desktop	Ghazi Mehrez (General manager)		
NC3 3. Working Conditions are Safe and Hygienic -2	New	The facility has not performed the annual regulatory inspection of its electrical installation.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: Lack of	It is recommended that the factory perform annually the regulatory inspection of its electrical	30 days	Desktop	Ghazi Mehrez (General manager)		



			awareness of local laws	installation by an accredited company.				
NC 4 9 Harsh or Inhumane Treatment - 1	New	No confidential and anonymous communication channel were implemented in the factory	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that the facility implement a confidential and anonymous communication channel for workers such as suggestion and complaint boxes and/or confidential email/Hotline	30 days	Follow up	Ghazi Mehrez (General manager)	

Corrective Action Plan – Observations						
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)		
OB1 0A: Universal Rights covering UNGP -1	New	The factory has not established a written policy covering human rights impacts and issues.	Systems	It is recommended that the factory implement a new policy covering human rights impacts and issues, and ensure it is communicated to workers and suppliers.		
OB2 0A: Universal Rights covering UNGP -2	New	The factory has not nominated a person responsible for implementing standards concerning human rights.	Systems	It is recommended that the factory nominate a qualified person in charge of implementing standards concerning human rights.		



OB3 0.A.3 0A: Universal Rights covering UNGP -3	New	The factory has not identified their stakeholders and salient issues.	Systems	It is recommended that the factory implement a new procedure and instructions to identify stakeholders and salient issues concerning human rights.
OB4 0A: Universal Rights covering UNGP -4	New	Factory has not measured its direct, indirect and potential impacts on stakeholder's human rights.	Systems	It is recommended that the factory implement instructions and procedure to measure direct, indirect and potential impacts on stakeholder's human rights.
OB5 OB: Management systems and code implementation - 1	New	Before the audit, it was noted that the SAQ had not been completed. Management was not aware of the SAQ.	Systems	It is recommended that the factory complete the SAQ before any SMETA audit.
OB6 OB: Management systems and code implementation - 2	New	Factory has not communicated ETI code to its own suppliers. Currently, suppliers are informed about social, Health, safety and environmental engagements of the company but not about ETI code.	Systems	It is recommended that the factory implements procedure and instructions to communicate ETI code to its own suppliers and subcontractors

	Good examples					
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments				
	Not revealed					



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.							
A: Site Representative Signature:	Ghazi Mehrez	Title: General Manager					
		Date: 20 July 2019					
B: Auditor Signature:	Wissem Guenanna	Title : Lead Auditor					
		Date: 20 July 2019					
C: Please indicate below if you, the site	management, dispute any of the findings. No ne	ed to complete D-E, if no disputes.					
D: I dispute the following numbered non	-compliances:						
NA							
E: Signed:	NA	Title					
(If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)		Date					
F: Any other site Comments:							
Nil							



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP